



كلية : التربية للعلوم الصرفة

القسم او الفرع : الرياضيات

المرحلة: الثالثة

أستاذ المادة : م.د. نادية علي ناظم

اسم المادة باللغة العربية : التحليل الرياضي

اسم المادة باللغة الإنكليزية : **Mathematical Analysis**

اسم المحاضرة الثامنة باللغة العربية: المتتابعات الكوشية

اسم المحاضرة الثامنة باللغة الإنكليزية: **Cauchy sequences**

Mathematical Analysis

Dr. Nadia Ali

Teaching at the University of Anbar
College of Education for Pure Sciences
Department of Mathematics

2022 – 2023

Example 3.8: find a sub Seq. of the following seq.

1. $\langle x_n \rangle = \langle \sqrt{n} \rangle$

Solution:

$$\langle \sqrt{n} \rangle = \sqrt{1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \dots$$

Let $\langle m \rangle = \langle 2n \rangle$ increasing Seq. in \mathbb{N} , the Sequence is

$$\langle X_m \rangle = \langle \sqrt{2n} \rangle = \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{4}, \sqrt{6}, \dots$$

Let $\langle m \rangle = \langle n + 3 \rangle$ increasing seq in \mathbb{N} , the sub seq is

$$\langle m \rangle = \langle \sqrt{n + 3} \rangle = \sqrt{4}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{6}, \dots$$

Theorem 3.4: Let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a convergent Seq and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = x$ then the sub seq $\langle X_{nm} \rangle$ also conv. To x , where $n \rightarrow \infty$

Proof:

Since $x_n \rightarrow x, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon, \forall n > k$

Choose $nr > k$, then $\forall m > r \rightarrow nm > nr > k$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_{nm}, x) < \epsilon, \forall nm > k$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x_{nm} \rangle \rightarrow x.$$

Definition 3.5: Let (X, d) be a metrices space and $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a seq. in X we say that

$\langle x_n \rangle$ is a principle. (Cauchy) seq. if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon, \forall n, m > k$.

Example 3.9: prove that $\langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle$ is Cauchy seq in \mathbb{R} ?

Solution: $\forall \epsilon > 0$, to find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon, \forall n, m > k, \forall n, m > k$.

$$\text{Let } m > n \rightarrow d(x_n, x_m) = \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{m} \right| < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{2}{n}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ (by Arch. Prop) $\rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t

$$k\epsilon > 2 \rightarrow \frac{2}{k} < \epsilon$$

$$\forall n > k, d(x_n, x_m) = |x_n - x_m| < \frac{2}{n} < \epsilon, \forall n, m > k \rightarrow \langle X_n \rangle \text{ is}$$

Cauchy seq.

Theorem 3.5: In metric space (X, d) , every Convergent seq. is Cauchy.

Remark 3.3: The Converse of the above theorem. Is not true by the following example.

Example 3.10: Let $X = \mathbb{R}^{++}$ positive numbers $d(x, y) = |x - y|, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{++}, \forall n > k$.

$\langle x_n \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle$ is Cauchy seq.

But $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 \notin \mathbb{R}^{++}$

$\therefore \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle$ is not Conv

Theorem 3.11: In metric Space (x, d) every Cauchy seq. is bounded.

Example 3.11: Let $\langle x_n \rangle = (-1)^n$ be a seq.

$\langle x_n \rangle$ is bounded seq, but not Cauchy Seq

Since $d(-1, 1) = 1 < \epsilon, \forall \epsilon > 0$

If $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 2 < \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow C!$

Theorem 3.12: For any real number r, \exists rational Cauchy Seq $\langle x_n \rangle$ Conv to r .

Definition 3.6: Let (X, d) be a metric space we say that X is Complete. If every Cauchy Seq.

In X coverage to a point in X .

i.e.: X is complete. If $\forall \langle X_n \rangle$ Cauchy Seq. $\rightarrow \exists \bar{x} \in X$ s.t $X_n \rightarrow X$.

Theorem 3.13: Cantor's theorem for Nested sets.

Proof:

Let (X, d) be a Complete metric Space and $\langle E_n \rangle$ be a seq of closed bounded Subset of X such that $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \dots E_n \supset E_{n+1} \forall n$ and the Sequence of Positive numbers $\langle \text{diam } E_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$, then $\cap E_n =$ Singleton point

Remark 3.4: The condition of closed sets of Cantor's theorem is necessary.

Example 3.11: Let $E_n = \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ be the open intervals, $E_{n+1} \subset E_n$, and

$\text{diam}(E_n) = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0, \forall n$ E_n is bounded and not closed. Prove
that $\cap E_n = \emptyset$

Proof:

Suppose $\cap E_n \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \exists r \in E_n$ s.t

$r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right), \forall n$

Since $r > 0$, by Arch.pvop, $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t

$kr > 1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{k} < r \rightarrow C!$

$\rightarrow \cap E_n = \emptyset$

Corollary 3.14: Let $\langle I_n \rangle$ be a seq of closed intervals, $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ such that

1. $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I_n| = 0$, then $\cap I_n =$ singleton Point

Theorem 3.15: R^n is Complete metric Space, $n \geq 1$

i.e.: (Every Cauchy sequence in R^n is Convergent)

Theorem 3.16: Let $\langle X_n \rangle$, $\langle Y_n \rangle$ and $\langle Z_n \rangle$ real Sequence s.t $\forall n, X_n \leq Y_n \leq Z_n$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n = a \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = a$$

Theorem 3.17: let $\langle X_n \rangle$ be a real sequence such that $\langle X_n \rangle$ Converge to 0 and

$$X_n \geq 0, p > 0 \text{ then } \langle X_n^p \rangle \text{ converges to } 0$$

Proof:

$$\langle X_n^p \rangle = x_1^p, x_2^p, x_3^p, \dots$$

Since $\langle X_n \rangle \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in N$ s.t

$$|X_n - 0| = |X_n| < \epsilon^p, \forall n > k \text{ and}$$

$$|X_n \cdot X_n \dots X_n| = |X_n| |X_n| \dots |X_n| = |X_n|^p < \left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^p, \forall n > k$$

$$\langle X_n^p \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

