

## SYNTAX ANALYSIS

### Definition of Context – free – Grammar:- [CFG]

A CFG has four components.

1. a set of Tokens known as Terminal symbols.
2. a set of non-terminals
3. start symbol
4. production.

### Notational Conventions:-

a) These symbols are terminals. (Ts)

- (i) Lower case letters early in the alphabet such as a,b,c
- (ii) Operator symbols such as +, -, etc.
- (iii) Punctuation symbols such as parenthesis, comma etc.
- (iv) The digits 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., 9
- (v) Bold face Strings.

b) These symbols are Non-Terminals (NTs)

- (i) Upper case letters early in the alphabet such as A, B, C
- (ii) The letter S, which is the start symbol.
- (iii) Lower case italic names such as *expr*, *stmt*.

c) Uppercase letters such as X, Y, Z represent grammar symbols either NTs or Ts.

### PARSER:

A parser for grammar G is a program that takes a string W as input and produces either a parse tree for W, if W is a sentence of G or an error message indicating that W is not a sentence of G as output.

There are two basic types of parsers for CFG.

1. Bottom – up Parser
2. Top – down Parser

#### 1. Bottom up Parser:-

The bottom up parser build parse trees from bottom (leaves) to the top (root). The input to the parser is being scanned from left to right, one symbol at a time. This is also called as “Shift *Reduce Parsing*” because it consisting of shifting input symbols onto a stack until the right side of a production appears on top of the stack.

There are two kinds of shift reduce parser (Bottom up Parser)

1. Operator Precedence Parser
2. LR Parser (more general type)

## Designing of Shift Reduce Parser(Bottom up Parser) :-

Here let us “reduce” a string  $w$  to the start symbol of a grammar. At each step a string matching the right side of a production is replaced by the symbol on the left.

For ex. consider the grammar,

$$S \rightarrow aAcBe$$

$$A \rightarrow Ab/b$$

$$B \rightarrow d$$

and the string  $abcde$ .

We want to reduce the string to  $S$ . We scan  $abcde$ , looking for substrings that match the right side of some production. The substrings  $b$  and  $d$  qualify.

Let us choose the left most  $b$  and replace it by  $A$ . That is  $A \rightarrow Ab/b$

$$\text{So, } S \rightarrow abcde \\ aAbcde \quad (A \rightarrow b)$$

We now that  $Ab, b$  and  $d$  each match the right side of some production.

Suppose this time we choose to replace the substring  $Ab$  by  $A$ , in the left side of the production.

$$A \rightarrow Ab$$

We now obtain,

$$aAcde \quad (A \rightarrow Ab)$$

Then replacing  $d$  by  $B$

$$aAcBe \quad (B \rightarrow d)$$

Now we can replace the entire string by  $S$ .

<u>W = abcde</u>	<u>position</u>	<u>production</u>
abcde	2	$A \rightarrow Ab/b$ (that is, $A \rightarrow b$ )
a <u>Ab</u> cde	2	$A \rightarrow Ab$
aAc <u>d</u> e	4	$B \rightarrow d$
aAcBe		$S \rightarrow aAcBe$

Thus we will be reached the starting symbol  $S$ .

Each replacement of the right side of a production by the left side in the process above is called a reduction.

In the above example  $abcde$  is a right sentential form whose handle is,

$$A \rightarrow b \text{ at position } 2$$

$$A \rightarrow Ab \text{ at position } 2$$

$$B \rightarrow d \text{ at position } 4.$$

Example:- Consider the following grammar

$E \rightarrow E + E$

$E \rightarrow E * E$

$E \rightarrow (E)$

$E \rightarrow id$  and the input string  $id_1 + id_2 * id_3$ . Reduce to the start symbol E.

Solution:-

<u>Right sentential form</u>	<u>handle</u>	<u>Reducing Production</u>
$id_1 + id_2 * id_3$	$id_1$	$E \rightarrow id$
$E + id_2 * id_3$	$id_2$	$E \rightarrow id$
$E + E * id_3$	$id_3$	$E \rightarrow id$
$E + E * E$	$E * E$	$E \rightarrow E * E$
$E + E$	$E + E$	$E \rightarrow E + E$
$E$		

### Stack implementation of shift reduce parsing:

Initialize the stack with \$ at the bottom of the stack. Use a \$ the right end of the input string.

<u>Stack</u>	<u>Input String</u>
\$	w\$

The parser operates by shifting one or more input symbols onto the stack until a handle  $\beta$  is on the top of a stack.

Example:- Reduce the input string  $id_1 + id_2 * id_3$  according to the following grammar.

1.  $E \rightarrow E * E$
2.  $E \rightarrow E + E$
3.  $E \rightarrow (E)$
4.  $E \rightarrow id$

Solution:-

<u>Stack</u>	<u>Input String</u>	<u>Action</u>
\$	$id_1 + id_2 * id_3 \$$	shift
$\$id_1$	$+id_2 * id_3 \$$	$E \rightarrow id$ (reduce)
$\$E$	$+id_2 * id_3 \$$	shift
$\$E+$	$id_2 * id_3 \$$	shift

\$E+id<sub>2</sub>                      \*id<sub>3</sub>\$                      E→id(reduce)

<u>Stack</u>	<u>Input String</u>	<u>Action</u>
\$E+E	*id <sub>3</sub> \$	shift
\$E+E*id <sub>3</sub>	\$	E→id(reduce)
\$E+E*E	\$	E→E*E(reduce)
\$E+E	\$	E→E+E(reduce)
\$E	\$	Accept

The Actions of shift reduce parser are,

1. shift → Shifts next input symbol to the top of the stack
2. Reduce → The parser knows the right end of the handle which is at the top of the stack.
3. Accept → It informs the successful completion of parsing
4. Error → It detects syntax error then calls error recovery routine.

### **Operator Precedence Parsing:-**

In operator precedence parsing we use three disjoint relations.

- <      if  $a < b$  means a “yields precedence to” b
- =      if  $a = b$  means a “has same precedence as” b
- >      if  $a > b$  means a “takes precedence over” b

There are two common ways of determining *precedence relation* hold between a pair of terminals.

1. Based on associativity and precedence of operators
2. Using operator precedence relation.

For Ex, \* have higher precedence than +. We make  $+ < *$  and  $* > +$

Problem 1:- Create an operator precedence relation for id+id\*id\$

	id	+	*	\$
id	-	>	>	>
+	<	>	<	>
*	<	>	>	>
\$	<	<	<	-



Problem 2: Tabulate the operator precedence relation for the grammar

$$E \rightarrow E+E \mid E-E \mid E * E \mid E / E \mid E \uparrow E \mid (E) \mid -E \mid id$$

Solution:-

- Assuming
1.  $\uparrow$  has highest precedence and right associative
  2.  $*$  and  $/$  have next higher precedence and left associative
  3.  $+$  and  $-$  have lowest precedence and left associative

	+	-	*	/	$\uparrow$	id	(	)	\$
+	>	>	<	<	<	<	<	>	>
-	>	>	<	<	<	<	<	>	>
*	>	>	>	>	<	<	<	>	>
/	>	>	>	>	<	<	<	>	>
$\uparrow$	>	>	>	>	<	<	<	>	>
id	>	>	>	>	>	-	-	>	>
(	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	=	-
)	>	>	>	>	>	-	-	>	>
\$	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	-	-

Derivations:-

The central idea is that a production is treated as a rewriting rule in which the non-terminal in the left side is replaced by the string on the right side of the production.

For Ex, consider the following grammar for arithmetic expression,

$$E \rightarrow E+E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid -E \mid id$$

That is we can replace a single E by  $-E$ . we describe this action by writing

$$E \Rightarrow -E, \text{ which is read "E derives } -E\text{"}$$

$E \rightarrow (E)$  tells us that we could also replace by (E).

So,  $E * E \Rightarrow (E) * E$  or  $E * E \Rightarrow E * (E)$

We can take a single E and repeatedly apply production in any order to obtain sequence of replacements.

$$E \Rightarrow -E$$

$$E \Rightarrow -(E)$$

$$E \Rightarrow -(id)$$

We call such sequence of replacements is called *derivation*.

Suppose  $\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \gamma \beta$  then

$A \rightarrow \gamma$  is a production and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are arbitrary strings of grammar symbols.

If  $\alpha_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2 \dots \dots \Rightarrow \alpha_n$  we say  $\alpha_1$  derives  $\alpha_n$

The symbol,

$\Rightarrow$  means “ derives in one step”

$\Rightarrow$  means “derives zero or more steps”

$\Rightarrow$  means “derives in one or more steps”

Example:-  $E \rightarrow E+E \mid E^*E \mid (E) \mid -E \mid id$ . The string  $-(id+id)$  is a sentence of above grammar.

$E \Rightarrow -E$   
 $\Rightarrow -(E)$   
 $\Rightarrow -(E+E)$   
 $\Rightarrow -(id+E)$   
 $\Rightarrow -(id+id)$

The above derivation is called left most derivation and it can be re written as,

$E \Rightarrow -E$   
  
 $\Rightarrow -(E)$   
  
 $\Rightarrow -(E+E)$   
  
 $\Rightarrow -(id+E)$   
  
 $\Rightarrow -(id+id)$

we can write this as  $E \Rightarrow -(id+id)$

Example for Right most Derivation:-

Right most derivation is otherwise called as canonical derivations.

$E \Rightarrow -E$   
  
 $\Rightarrow -(E)$   
  
 $\Rightarrow -(E+E)$   
  
 $\Rightarrow -(id+E)$   
  
 $\Rightarrow -(id+id)$

## References

1. J. Tremblay, P.G. Sorenson, "The Theory and Practice of Compiler Writing ", McGRAW-HILL,1985.
2. W.M. Waite, L.R. Carter, "An Introduction to Compiler Construction", Harper Collins, New york,1993
3. A.W. Appel,"Modern Compiler Implementation in, CambridgeUniversity Press,1998
4. Internet Papers
5. Aho, R. Sethi, J.D. Ullman," Compilers- Principles, Techniques and Tools"Addison-Weseley, 2007