

Lecture Notes in Foundations of Mathematics

Department of Mathematics

Al-Anbar University

Logic and Proofs

Section 1.1: Propositions and Connectives

Definition 1.1.1

A **proposition** **P** is a sentence which is either true **T** or false **F**. That is, the truth values of propositions are **T** or **F**.

Example 1.1.1

Consider the following sentences:

- Propositions:

a) $\frac{1}{2}$ is a rational number. [T].

b) $2 + 4 = 1$. [F].

- Not propositions:

c) How are you doing? [not a proposition].

d) $x^2 = 36$. [where is x coming from?].

e) This sentence is false. [depends on the given sentence!].

The previous propositions studied in a and b are called **simple** propositions. **Compound** propositions can be formed by **connectives** with simple propositions. For example,

Compound proposition: $1 + 2 = 5$ "and" the sun is made of an orange.

Definition 1.1.2

Let **P** and **Q** be two propositions. Then,

- the **conjunction** of **P** and **Q**, denoted by $\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}$, is the proposition "**P** and **Q**". $\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}$ is true exactly when both **P** and **Q** are true.

2. the **disjunction** of \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{Q} , denoted by $\mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{Q}$, is the proposition " \mathbf{P} or \mathbf{Q} ". $\mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{Q}$ is true exactly when at least one of \mathbf{P} or \mathbf{Q} is true.
3. the **negation** of \mathbf{P} , denoted by $\sim \mathbf{P}$, is the proposition "not \mathbf{P} ". $\sim \mathbf{P}$ is true exactly when \mathbf{P} is false.

Example 1.1.2

Let \mathbf{P} be "Kuwait is an island" and let \mathbf{Q} be "Sea water contains salt". Discuss $\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{Q}$, and $\sim \mathbf{P}$.

Solution:

It is clear the \mathbf{P} is false and \mathbf{Q} is true. Thus,

1. $\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}$: Kuwait is an island and sea water contains salt. [F].
2. $\mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{Q}$: Kuwait is an island or sea water contains salt. [T].
3. $\sim \mathbf{P}$: It is not the case that Kuwait is an island. [T].

\mathbf{P}	\mathbf{Q}	$\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}$	$\mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{Q}$	$\sim \mathbf{P}$	$\sim \mathbf{Q}$
T	T	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	T	F
F	F	F	F	T	T

Definition 1.1.3

A **propositional form** is an expression involving finitely many propositions connected by connectives such as \wedge , \vee , and \sim .

Example 1.1.3

Let \mathbf{P} , \mathbf{Q} , and \mathbf{R} be propositions. Write down the truth table of the propositional form $((\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}) \vee (\mathbf{P} \vee (\sim \mathbf{R})))$.

Solution:

P	Q	R	$\sim R$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee (\sim R)$	$((P \wedge Q) \vee (P \vee (\sim R)))$
T	T	T	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	T	F	T	T

Definition 1.1.4

Two propositional forms P and Q are called **equivalent** if and only if their truth tables are identical. In that case, we write $P \equiv Q$.

Definition 1.1.5

A **denial** of a proposition P is any proposition equivalent to $\sim P$.

A proposition P has only one negation " $\sim P$ ", but it has many denials. For instance, $\sim P$, $\sim\sim P$, and $\sim\sim\sim P$ are all examples of denials. Note that $\sim(\sim P)$ is simply P .

Example 1.1.4

Let P be " π is an irrational number". Find the negation of P , and give some examples of denials of P .

Solution:

- negation $\sim P$: It is not the case that π is irrational.
- denials of P : a. π is rational. b. π is the quotient of two integers r/s . c. π has a finite decimal expansion.

Note that since P is true, all of its denials are false.

Definition 1.1.6

A propositional form is called a **tautology** if it is true for all possible truth values of its components. It is called a **contradiction** if it is the negation of a tautology.

Example 1.1.5

Show that $((P \vee Q) \vee ((\sim P) \wedge (\sim Q)))$ is a tautology for any propositions **P** and **Q**.

Solution:

P	Q	$\sim P$	$\sim Q$	$P \vee Q$	$(\sim P) \wedge (\sim Q)$	$((P \vee Q) \vee ((\sim P) \wedge (\sim Q)))$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

Moreover, it can be seen that the negation of $((P \vee Q) \vee ((\sim P) \wedge (\sim Q)))$ is a contradiction.

Remark 1.1.1

The negation of a tautology is a contradiction, and the negation of a contradiction is a tautology.

Section 1.2: Conditionals and Biconditionals

Definition 1.2.1

Given two propositions \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{Q} , the conditional sentence $\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ (reads " \mathbf{P} implies \mathbf{Q} ") is the proposition "if \mathbf{P} , then \mathbf{Q} ". In that case, \mathbf{P} is called **antecedent** and \mathbf{Q} is called **consequent**.

Remark 1.2.1

The proposition $\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is true whenever \mathbf{P} is false or \mathbf{Q} is true. In general, $\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is equivalent to $(\sim \mathbf{P}) \vee \mathbf{Q}$.

Example 1.2.1

Consider the following propositions:

- a) if " x is an odd integer", then " $x + 1$ is an even integer". [T].
- b) if " $2 + 1 = 0$ ", then " $1 + 1 = 0$ ". [T].
- c) if " $1 - 1 = 0$ ", then " $2 + 9 = 1$ ". [F].

Definition 1.2.2

For propositions \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{Q} , the **converse** of $\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is $\mathbf{Q} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$, and the **contrapositive** of $\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is $(\sim \mathbf{Q}) \Rightarrow (\sim \mathbf{P})$.

Theorem 1.2.1

For any propositions \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{Q} , we have

(i) $\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is equivalent to $(\sim \mathbf{Q}) \Rightarrow (\sim \mathbf{P})$, and (ii) $\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is not equivalent to $\mathbf{Q} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

Proof:

We prove both results in the following truth table.

P	Q	$\sim P$	$\sim Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Definition 1.2.3

Let P and Q be two propositions. The **biconditional** sentence $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ is " P if and only if (iff.) Q ". $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ is true exactly when both P and Q have the same truth value.

Remark 1.2.2

The following phrases are translated as $P \Rightarrow Q$ for any propositions P and Q :

- | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| • if P , then Q . | • if $a > 5$, then $a > 3$. |
| • P implies Q . | • $a > 5$ implies $a > 3$. |
| • P is sufficient for Q . | • $a > 5$ is sufficient for $a > 3$. |
| • P only if Q . | • $a > 5$ only if $a > 3$. |
| • Q , if P . | • $a > 3$, if $a > 5$. |
| • Q whenever P . | • $a > 3$ whenever $a > 5$. |
| • Q is necessary for P . | • $a > 3$ is necessary for $a > 5$. |
| • Q , when P . | • $a > 3$, when $a > 5$. |

Remark 1.2.3

Moreover, the following phrases are translated as $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ for any propositions P and Q :

- | | |
|---|---|
| • P if and only if Q . | • $ x = 2$ iff $x^2 = 4$. |
| • P if, but only if, Q . | • $ x = 2$ if, but only if, $x^2 = 4$. |
| • P is equivalent to Q . | • $ x = 2$ is equivalent to $x^2 = 4$. |
| • P is necessary and sufficient for Q . | • $ x = 2$ is necessary and sufficient for $x^2 = 4$. |

Let **P**, **Q**, and **R** be propositions. Then,

- $$\begin{array}{lll}
 \text{a.} & \mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q} & \equiv (\sim \mathbf{P}) \vee \mathbf{Q}. \\
 \text{b.} & \mathbf{P} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{Q} & \equiv (\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}) \wedge (\mathbf{Q} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}). \\
 \text{c.} & \sim (\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}) & \equiv (\sim \mathbf{P}) \vee (\sim \mathbf{Q}). \\
 \text{d.} & \sim (\mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{Q}) & \equiv (\sim \mathbf{P}) \wedge (\sim \mathbf{Q}). \\
 \text{e.} & \sim (\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}) & \equiv \mathbf{P} \wedge (\sim \mathbf{Q}). \\
 \text{f.} & \sim (\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}) & \equiv \mathbf{P} \Rightarrow (\sim \mathbf{Q}). \\
 \text{g.} & \mathbf{P} \wedge (\mathbf{Q} \vee \mathbf{R}) & \equiv (\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}) \vee (\mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{R}). \\
 \text{h.} & \mathbf{P} \vee (\mathbf{Q} \wedge \mathbf{R}) & \equiv (\mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{Q}) \wedge (\mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{R}).
 \end{array}$$

b.

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T

g.

P	Q	R	$Q \vee R$	$P \wedge (Q \vee R)$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \wedge R$	$(P \vee Q) \vee (P \vee R)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F