University of Anbar College of Engineering Dept. of Electrical Engineering



Electric physics II Assist. Lac. Yasameen Kamil 2020 - 2021

Electric physics II Electric flux& Gauss's law By Assist. Lac. Yasameen Kamil 2020 - 2021

#### Summary

- Electric Flux
- Gauss's Law
- Examples of using Gauss's Law
- Properties of Conductors

#### Flux of an electric field

• Is the measure of electric field line passing through the surface area "S"



• Electric flux depend on the strength of the E on the surface area , and depend on

the relative orientation of the field and the surface ( Ø

 $\Phi = E \times A \cos \emptyset$ 

Where  $\emptyset$  is the angle between E and A





Calculate the flux of the electric field E, through the surface A, in each of the three cases shown:

a) 
$$\Phi =$$
  
b)  $\Phi =$ 

c) 
$$\Phi =$$

#### Gauss's Law

• Gauss' law is an expression of the general relationship between the net electric flux through a closed surface and the charge enclosed by the surface. The closed surface is often called a Gaussian surface.

Gaussian surface : The closed surface is often called a Gaussian surface. If the Gaussian surface has a net electric charge  $q_{in}$  within it, then the electric flux through the surface is  $q_{in} / \varepsilon_0$ , that is

$$\Phi = \oint E \cdot dA = \frac{Q_{in}}{\varepsilon_0}$$



#### Flux through a sphere from a point charge

The electric field around a point charge

$$\mid \mathbf{E} \mid = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q}{\mid \mathbf{r}_1 \mid^2}$$

Thus the flux on a sphere is  $E \times Area$ 

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q}{\left|\mathbf{r}_1\right|^2} \times 4\pi \left|\mathbf{r}_1\right|^2$$

**Cancelling we get** 

$$\Phi = \frac{Q}{\varepsilon_0}$$



## Now we change the radius of sphere

$$|\mathbf{E}| = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{r}_2|^2}$$
$$\Phi_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{r}_2|^2} \times 4\pi |\mathbf{r}_2|^2$$



The flux is the same as before

$$\Phi_2 = \Phi_1 = \frac{Q}{\varepsilon_0}$$



Since the flux is related to the number of field lines passing through a surface the total flux is the total from each charge





Quiz:-

#### Quiz) Calculate the electric flux in each closed surface

 $\varphi_{s1} = \\ \varphi_{s2} = \\ \varphi_{s3} = \\ \varphi_{s4} =$ 



Find the flux in each surface if the electric field is pass through the closed surface and its direction as shown in figure ?

 $\Phi_{out=+EA}$ 

Because E || *the line perpendecoler to* A and its sign is **positive** (E is pointing outward the surface)

2- electric flux on the lower surface is

$$\Phi_{in=-EA}$$

Because E || the line perpendecoler to A and its sign is negative (E is pointing inward the surface)

3- the electric flux on the other 4-surface of the cubic is 0 Because E  $\perp$  *the line perpendecoler to* A

 $\Phi_{=EA\cos 90=0}$ 

4-The net  $\Phi$  is

 $\Phi_{net=\Phi_{in}+\Phi_{out}}_{\Phi_{net}=-EA+EA=0}$ 

 $\Phi_{in=} \Phi_{out}$  (but in the opposite direction

## Conductors in Electric Fields

- E = 0 everywhere inside the conductor.
- 2. There is no net charge inside the conductor.
- 3. E is everywhere perpendicular to the bounding surface of the conductor.
- 4. The electric potential V is constant insider the conductor.
- 5. Any net charge must reside on the surface of conductor.
- 6. The tangential component of the electric field E is zero on the surface of conductor.

# 1-E is zero within conductor

- If there is a field in the conductor, then the free electrons would feel a force and be accelerated. They would then move and since there are charges moving the conductor would not be in electrostatic equilibrium Thus E=0
- 2. There is no net charge inside the conductor.
- Because of the repulsive force inside the conductor the charge would reside on the surface of conductor
- 3-E is everywhere perpendicular to the bounding surface of the conductor.
- If the tangential component of the  $E_{||} > 0$ , it would cause surface charge q to move thus it would not be in electrostatic equilibrium, thus  $E_{||} = 0$ , for this reason only the vertical component of E bounded the surface of conductor