

Artificial Intelligence Lecture Notes by Dr. Belal Al-Khateeb

Week No.: 11

Lecture Title: Horn Clauses; Unification and Skolemization

* Horn Clause

A Horn clause has the following form:

$b_1(X,Y) \wedge b_2(X,Y) \wedge \dots \wedge b_n(X,Y) \longrightarrow a(X,Y)$. Where the literals a, b_1, \dots, b_n are all positive.

The $a(X,Y)$ is called the head of the clause and $b_1(X,Y) \wedge \dots \wedge b_n(X,Y)$ is called the body of the clause.

These are three cases to consider:

- 1- The original clause has no head $b_1(X,Y) \wedge b_2(X,Y) \wedge \dots \wedge b_n(X,Y)$. This represents a goal to be proved.
- 2- The clause has no body: $a(X,Y)$. This represents a fact.
- 3- The clause has a body and a head: $b_1(X,Y) \wedge \dots \wedge b_n(X,Y) \longrightarrow a(X,Y)$. In this case the clause is called a rule.

A Horn clause may be written in the form: $\neg b_1(X,Y) \vee \neg b_2(X,Y) \vee \dots \vee \neg b_n(X,Y) \vee a(X,Y)$. Thus a Horn can be defined as a clause with at most one positive literal.

* Common Identities

- 1- $\neg \exists X p(X) \equiv \forall X \neg p(X)$.
- 2- $\neg \forall X p(X) \equiv \exists X \neg p(X)$.
- 3- $\exists X p(X) \equiv \exists Y p(Y)$.
- 4- $\forall X p(X) \equiv \forall Y p(Y)$.
- 5- $\forall X [p(X) \wedge q(X)] \equiv \forall X p(X) \wedge \forall Y q(Y)$.

$$6- \exists X [p(X) \vee q(X)] \equiv \exists X p(X) \vee \exists Y q(Y).$$

$$\text{Note that } \forall X [p(X) \vee q(X)] \equiv \forall X p(X) \vee \forall Y q(Y).$$

* **Examples:**

1- If it does not rain tomorrow, Zeki will go to the lake.

$$\text{Solution} \setminus \neg \text{weather}(\text{tomorrow}, \text{rain}) \longrightarrow \text{go}(\text{Zeki}, \text{lake}).$$

2- All basketball players are tall.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Solution} \setminus \quad & \forall X \text{ tall}(X). && X \in \{\text{basketball player}\}. \\ & \forall X [\text{basketball_player}(X) \longrightarrow \text{tall}(X)]. && X \in \{\text{players}\}. \\ & \forall X [\text{player}(X) \wedge \text{play}(X, \text{basketball}) \longrightarrow \text{tall}(X)]. && X \in \{\text{all people}\}. \end{aligned}$$

3- Some students like artificial intelligence, where $X \in \{\text{set of all things}\}$.

$$\text{Solution} \setminus \exists X [\text{student}(X) \wedge \text{like}(X, \text{artificial_intelligence})].$$

4- Nobody like taxes.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Solution} \setminus \quad & \neg \exists X [\text{like}(X, \text{taxes})] && X \in \{\text{set of people}\}. \\ & \neg \exists X [\text{person}(X) \wedge \text{like}(X, \text{taxes})] && X \in \{\text{set of all things}\}. \end{aligned}$$

* **Unification**

Unification is the process of making two literals look alike.

Assume that we have a set of literals to be unified: $\{L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots, L_k\}$, we seek a substitution, such that: $F = \{(t_1, v_1), (t_2, v_2), \dots, (t_n, v_n)\}$ such that: $L_1 F = L_2 F = \dots = L_k F$.

Example:

1- Assume that $L = p(X, Y, f(Y), b)$
 $F = \{(a, X), (f(Z), Y)\}$
 $LF = p(a, f(Z), f(f(Z)), b).$

2- $L1 = \text{father}(X, Y).$
 $L2 = \text{father}(X1, Y1).$
 $F = \{(X, X1), (Y, Y1)\}.$
 $L1F = \text{father}(X, Y).$
 $L2F = \text{father}(X, Y).$

$Q = \{(ali, X), (ahmed, Y), (ali, X1), (ahmed, Y1)\}.$
 $L1Q = \text{father}(ali, ahmed).$
 $L2Q = \text{father}(ali, ahmed).$

$R = \{(ali, X), (ali, X1), (Y, Y1)\}.$
 $L1R = \text{father}(ali, Y).$
 $L2R = \text{father}(ali, Y).$

Let F be a substitution, then F is mgu (most general unifier) of $s = \{L1, L2, \dots, Lk\}$ provided that for any other unifier Q of $\{L1, L2, \dots, Lk\}$ there exist a unifier R of $\{L1, L2, \dots, Lk\}$ such that: $Q(S) = R(F(S)).$

Unification is done by replacing a variable by a term (important).

*** Procedure mgu**

Begin

- Generate the first disagreement set D .
- Repeat

While (D is disagreement) do

If non of the terms in D consists of a variable by itself then

- stop and report failure becomes the set of literals can not be unified by any substitutions.

Else

- Convert variable into a term by adding a pair of the form (t, v) and simultaneously perform the substitution in the literals.

If v is not in F as a second argument of a pair, then

- add a pair (tp, vp) to F.
 Else
 - stop and report failure.
 End if
 End if
 End while
 - generate the next disagreement set D;
 Until (no D remain)
 Return (F).
 End.

Example:

Let $L1 = p(X, f(Y))$.
 $L2 = p(a, f(g(Z)))$.
 Find the mgu.

Solution\

$$F = \{\emptyset\}.$$

Step1:

$$D = \{X, a\}.$$

$$F = \{(a, X)\}.$$

$$L1' = L1F = p(a, f(Y)).$$

$$L2' = L2F = p(a, f(g(Z))).$$

Step2:

$$D = \{f(Y), f(g(Z))\}.$$

$$F' = \{(a, X), (g(Z), Y)\}.$$

$$L1'' = L1'F' = p(a, f(g(Z))).$$

$$L2'' = L2'F' = p(a, f(g(Z))).$$

Stop.

*** Skolemization**

Skolemization is the process of replacing existentially quantifier (\exists) variables by a constant (skolen constant) or a function (skolen function) of universally quantified (\forall) variables under whose scope.

Example:

1- $\exists X$ father (X,ahmed) X=ali
father (ali,ahmed).

2- $\forall Y \exists X$ father (X,Y)
 $\forall Y$ father (f(Y),Y).
f (Y) is a skolen function.

3- $\exists X \forall Y$ p (X,Y). Replace X by a constant $\forall Y$ p (a,Y).

4- $\forall X \forall Y \exists Z \forall W$ p (X,Y,Z,W).
 $\forall X \forall Y \forall W$ p (X,Y,f(X,Y),W).

5- $\forall X \forall Y \exists Z \exists W$ p (X,Y,Z,W).
 $\forall X \forall Y$ p (X,Y,f(X,Y),g(X,Y)).