- In this topic we will look at:
  - In-order traversals of binary trees
  - Limitations of in-order traversals with *n*-ary trees
  - Introduction to *M*-way trees
  - In-order traversals of *M*-way trees



### In-order Traversals

- Two depth-first traversals:
  - Pre-order
  - Post-order
- First and last visits during an Euler walk

### In-order Traversals

- For binary trees, there is a third intermediate visit
  - An *in-order* depth-first traversal



### In-order Traversals

• This visits a binary search tree in order



#### A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J



# Application

class Algebraic;

}

```
void pretty print( Algebraic * parent ) {
```

if ( !leaf() ) {

// If we are printing an operator (not a leaf node) then
// we want to print an opening parenthesis if the parent
// operator has higher precedence, e.g.,
// \* +
// + y -> (x + 5) y \* y -> 5x + y

- // x 5 5 x
- if ( parent->precedence() > precedence() ) {
   cout << "(";</pre>
- } // pre-order visit

left tree->pretty print( this ); // traverse left tree

Application

// If we are printing a multiplication, then we will
// print a star iff both sub-trees are numeric values, e.g.
// \* \* \* \* \*
// 3 y -> 3y 4 + -> 4(x + 5) 3 5 -> 3\*5
// x 5
if ( is\_multiplication() ) {
 if ( left\_tree->numeric() && right\_tree->numeric() ) {
 cout << "\*";
 }
} else {
 cout << this; // print this object
}</pre>

# Application

```
if ( !leaf() ) {
```

right tree->pretty print( this ); // traverse right sub-tree

// If we are printing an operator (not a leaf node) then
// we want to print an opening parenthesis if the parent
// operator has higher precedence, e.g.,
// \* +
// + y -> (x + 5) y \* y -> 5x + y
// x 5 5 x

```
if ( parent->precedence() > precedence() ) {
   cout << ")";</pre>
```

```
} // post-order visit
```

}

```
Suppose we had a node storing two values and with three sub-trees:
template<class Object>
class Three way node {
   Three way node *left tree;
                first_element;
   Object
   Three way node *middle tree;
   Object
                second element;
   Three way node *right tree;
   11 ...
};
                        left element right element
                   left tree middle tree
                                                right tree
```

- We will require that
  - All sub-trees are 3-way trees
  - The left sub-tree contains items less than the 1<sup>st</sup> element
  - The middle sub-tree contains items between the two elements
  - The right sub-tree contains items greater than the 2<sup>nd</sup> element

- One immediate consequence is that the first element is less than the second
- Problem: we may not be able to fill both entries in a node:
  - Require that the right sub-tree is empty if the node contains only one element (the first)

```
• Suppose we had a node storing two values and with three sub-trees:
template<class Object>
class Three_way_node {
   Three_way_node *left_tree;
   Object first_element;
   Three_way_node *middle_tree;
   Object second_element;
   Three_way_node *right_tree;
   int num_elements; # 1 or 2
   // ...
};
```





• Suppose we had a node storing M - 1 values and with M sub-trees: template<class Object> class M\_way\_node { private: int M; int num\_elements; Object \*elements; M\_way\_node \*\*subtrees; // for an array of M pointers to M-way nodes public: // ... };

M-Way Trees

```
template<class Object>
M_way_node<Object>::M_way_node( const Object &obj, int m ):
    M( m ),
    num_elements( 1 ),
    elements( new Object[M - 1] ),
    subtrees( new M_way_node<Object> *[M] )
{
    elements[0] = obj;
    for ( int i = 0; i < M; ++i ) {
        subtrees[i] = 0;
    }
}</pre>
```

- Question:
  - What is the maximum number of elements which may be stored in an *M*-way tree of height *h*?
- Consider the 3-way trees and, if possible, generalize

#### • Examining these perfect 3-way trees



- Suggested form:
  - The maximum number of nodes in a perfect *M*-way tree of height *h* is  $M^{h+1} 1$
- Observations
  - This is true when M = 2:  $2^{h+1} 1$
- To prove that this is true in general, we will first make use of one fact...

- We will require the following:
  - the maximum number of leaf nodes in an M-way tree of height h is  $M^h$
- Proof (by induction):
  - when h = 0, there is  $M^0 = 1$  node (a leaf node)
  - assume for h = k that there are  $M^k$  leaf nodes
  - for h = k + 1, each leaf node has M children:  $M^k M = M^{k+1}$

Q.E.D.

- Similarly, we will show that the maximum number of elements which may be stored in *M*-way tree of height h is
- First, when h = 0, the formula is  $M^1 1$  which is the maximum number of elements a single node can store

- We will assume the statement is true for h = k, that is, the maximum number of elements is  $M^{k+1} 1$
- A tree of height h = k has  $M^k$  leaf nodes, and therefore, if each of these have the maximum number of children (M), we therefore have  $M \cdot M^k$ leaf nodes, each of which stores M - 1 elements

- Therefore, the maximum number of elements stored is in a tree of height
   h = k + 1 is:
  - the total number of elements stored in a tree of height h = k plus
  - M-1 for each possible sub-tree of each leaf node of height h = k
- That is,  $M^{k+1} 1 + MM^k(M-1) = M^{k+1} 1 + M^{k+2} M^{k+1} 1 = M^{k+2} 1$

- Thus, the statement must be true for all h > 0
- One nice consequence is that the minimum height of an *M*-way tree which stores *n* elements is given by [log<sub>M</sub>(n)]

- An *M*-way tree has the following properties:
  - each node has k elements where  $1 \le k < M$  and  $e_0 < \dots < e_{k-1}$
  - each node has at most one k + 1 sub-trees  $T_0, T_1, ..., T_k$  such that:
    - all elements  $\varepsilon$  in the sub-tree  $T_0$  satisfy  $\varepsilon < e_0$ ,
    - all elements  $\varepsilon$  in  $T_j$  (j = 1, ..., k 1) satisfy:

$$e_{j-1} < \varepsilon < e_j$$

• all elements  $\varepsilon$  in the sub-tree  $T_k$  satisfy  $\varepsilon > e_{k-1}$ 

- Observations
  - we require that the elements in a given node are filled in order
  - intermediate trees may be empty
  - a binary search tree is a 2-way tree
  - the minimum depth of an *M*-way tree with *n* nodes is  $\log_M(n+1) 1$
  - potentially much less depth than a binary tree

- Most keys are stored in the leaves
  - *M<sup>h</sup>* leaves
  - A total of M 1 keys per leaf

• Thus

 $(M-1)M^{h/(M^{h+1}-1)} \approx (M-1)/M$ 



• A plot of the minimum height of an *M*-way tree for M = 2, 3, ..., 20 for up to one-million elements



- Compare:
  - A perfect 6-way tree with h = 2
    - 215 elements in 43 nodes
  - A complete binary tree with n = 215 and h = 7



- Advantage:
  - Shorter paths from the root
- Disadvantage:
  - More complex
- Under what conditions is the additional complexity worth the effort?
  - When the cost from jumping nodes is exceptionally dominant

